Re: Seneca ADR



Alan Henning, Anthony Barber, Janis Hastings, Judith Lee, Ted Yackulic to: Kathleen Veit, Nancy Helm, Paul Koprowski, Richard

Parkin, Rick Albright, Running Grass

History:

This message has been replied to.

I'd like to send a response to OTA and LRAPA about the initial ADR and existing ADR request. The initial approach (centered on the permit) does not appear viable given the LRAPA's and Seneca's reluctance to participate. The existing request (air monitoring in Eugene) is broader, involves more stakeholders and does not appear to have as strong a nexus to a federal issue as the permit. I'd like to pass the request on to the State and local government. Oregon has services that may be able to facilitate the discussion. We could also follow up on EJ and air issues through our program contacts but I don't think the existing request makes a

Does this approach sound okay? Does anyone want to help with the response? I could take an initial cut at a letter. The letter would summarize the request, our interest in supporting the initial request and our sense of whether parties are interested in the use of a neutral for the permit issue.

Thanks,

Ted

Ted Yackulic

Attorney Client Communication I spoke with Davi...

09/15/2009 11:15:51 AM

09/22/2009 01:13 PM

Ted Yackulic /R10/USEPA/US

09/15/2009 11:15 AM

To Alan Henning/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Anthony Barber/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Janis Hastings/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Judith Lee/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Kathleen Veit/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Nancy Helm/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Paul Koprowski/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Parkin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Rick Albright/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Running Grass/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject Re: Seneca ADR 📄

Attorney Client Communication

I spoke with David Batson and Joanne Dea about the contract and scope issues. I learned that because of the change in scope the Office of Conflict Prevention and Resolution would have to re-consider its commitment to fund the project, and that the contract would have to be re-scoped if funded.

To re-consider funding they would need a clear idea of the issue(s), a better sense of the stakeholders (how many communities and constituent groups), commitments to fund by the Region and locals and a sense of the federal issue (are there federal issues involved or is this local and state). David and Joanne suggested that we may receive a commitment if there was support from the Region and because of the likely change in numbers of parties and scope that the effort may increase.

Any input on the Region's commitment is appreciated.

Ted Yackulic

Office of Regional Counsel 206 553 1218 yackulic.ted@epa.gov